



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,454	06/20/2001	Stepan Sokolov	SUN1P831/P6164 5755 EXAMINER	
22434 7.	590 05/20/2004			
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			RAMPURIA, SATISH	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2124	1,
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	Applicant(s)			
0.55	09/886,454	SOKOLOV ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Satish S. Rampuria	2124			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	une 2001.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Evaminar			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/07/2003.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed on 06/20/2001.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Specification

3. The use of the trademark "Java" has been noted in this application. It should be appropriate or proper term (see MPEP 608.01(v)) used, wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. An initialed and dated copy of Applicant's IDS form 1449, Paper No. 02 and 04 is attached to the instant Office action.

Drawings

5. Figure 1 (A and B) should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2124

Claim objections

6. Claim 2, 5, 12, and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 2, on line 15, after the word "reference" missing ";".

Regarding claim 5, on line 27, after the word "size" missing ";".

Regarding claim 12, on line 9, after the word "size" missing ";".

Regarding claim 19, on line 22, after the word "reference" missing ";".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, the trademark or trade name can not be used to identify any particular material or product.

Claims 1-20 contain the trademark/trade name Java. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See Ex parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,454 Page 4

Art Unit: 2124

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No.
 6,151,703 to Crelier, hereinafter called Crelier.

Per claim 1:

Crelier discloses:

- In a Java computing environment, a Java object representation suitable for use by a Java virtual machine, said a Java object representation (col. 3, lines 44-45 "a virtual machine for executing programs written in the Java programming language")
- a first reference to an internal class representation of said Java object (col. 8, lines 16-17 "the object handle 401 includes a pointer referencing a method table or virtual table ("v table")")
- a second reference to instance fields associated with said Java object (col. 8, lines 21-22 "the method table 420 includes a pointer 421 pointing to a ClassClass descriptor 430")
- wherein said first reference is a direct reference to said internal class representation of said

 Java object (col. 8, lines 62-64 "The method table 420 includes a pointer 423 to the method

 block for the class (or superclass, if this in an inherited class)")

Art Unit: 2124

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Crelier disclose:

- wherein said second reference is a reference to an array of references (col. 8, lines 13-14 "objects are maintained in a global array for facilitating object processing")

wherein each reference in said array of references is a reference to an instance field associated with said Java object (col. 8, lines 19-20 "The method table 420, in turn, includes a list of methods for the objects of the class")

Per claims 3 and 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Crelier disclose:

wherein said first reference is allocated as four bytes (col. 10, lines 62-64 "The third slot stores a pointer (e.g., 32-bit address pointer) to compiled code, *CompiledCode, which corresponds to the compiled code slot 463"). 8 bit equal to 1 byte, therefore, 32 bit equal to 4 bytes.

Per claims 6 and 7:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Crelier disclose:

- a hash key that can be used to identify the Java object (col. 8, lines 42-43 "unsigned long thishash; unsigned long totalhash")

Claim 8 is the method claim corresponding to system claim 1 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 above.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/886,454

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 9 is the method claim corresponding to system claim 2 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 2 above.

Claim 10 is the method claim corresponding to system claim 3 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 3 above.

Claim 11 is the method claim corresponding to system claim 4 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 4 above.

Claim 13 is the method claim corresponding to system claim 6 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 6 above.

Claim 14 is the method claim corresponding to system claim 7 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 7 above.

Claim 20 is the computer program product claim corresponding to system claim 6 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 6 above.

Per claim 15:

Crelier discloses:

- a method for accessing information regarding a Java object (col. 3, lines 44-45 "a virtual machine for executing programs written in the Java programming language")
- identifying an object representation associated with said Java object (col. 3, lines 50-52 "The program comprises objects created from Java classes; each class defines data and methods for the class")

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/886,454

Art Unit: 2124

- using a first reference in said object representation to locate an appropriate internal class representation associated with said Java object (col. 8, lines 16-17 "the object handle 401 includes a pointer referencing a method table or virtual table ("v table")")

- accessing information regarding said Java object from said internal class representation
 (col. 6, lines 29-32 "class will access other classes for invoking their methods, the bytecode
 verifier must confirms that appropriate access is in place")
- wherein said object is represented in a Java virtual machine (col. 7, lines 62-63 "Java application is executed, the virtual machine 220 loads one or more class files")

Per claim 16:

The rejection of claim 15 is incorporated, and further, Crelier discloses:

skipping a header of said internal class representation to access a method table associated with said Java object (col. 8, lines 62-64 "The method table 420 includes a pointer 423 to the method block for the class (or superclass, if this in an inherited class)")

Per claim 17:

The rejection of claim 15 is incorporated, and further, Crelier discloses:

wherein said information regarding said Java object includes a field descriptor table (col. 8, lines 21-22 "the method table 420 includes a pointer 421 pointing to a ClassClass descriptor 430")

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 18 is the computer program product claim corresponding to system claim 1 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 above.

Claim 19 is the computer program product claim corresponding to system claim 2 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 2 above.

Substantially as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crelier in view of US Patent No. 6,237,043 to Brown et al., hereinafter called Brown.

Per claim 5:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Crelier disclose:

- wherein a method table associated with said Java object is allocated immediately after said header (col. 10, lines 62-64 "The method table 420 includes a pointer 423 to the method block for the class (or superclass, if this in an inherited class)")

Crelier does not explicitly disclose internal class representation includes a header of a predetermined size.

Art Unit: 2124

However, Brown, in an analogous computer system discloses internal class representation includes a header of a predetermined size (col. 3, lines 58-61 "an object by defining a memory area within the object's header... contains the locking mechanism or a pointer to a locking mechanism" and col. 4, lines 12-13 "allocate additional header memory area... object is created").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of including header with memory allocated area within the object as taught by Brown onto the method of executing Java programming code as taught by Crelier. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to predetermine the header size to not to overhead the memory as suggested by Brown (col. 3, lines 35-48).

Claim 12 is the method claim corresponding to system claim 5 and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 5 above.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patent is cited to further show the state of the art with respect to JavaTM programming environment.

US Patent No. 5,920,720 to Toutonghi et al.

US Patent No. 6,530,080 to Fresko et al.

US Patent No. 6,330,709 to Johnson et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satish S. Rampuria whose telephone number is 703-305-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria Patent Examiner Art Unit 2124 05/ 17/2004

> TODO MŒEERG PRIMARY EXAMINER